United States of America

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

for the

Northern District of Ohio

	٧.	,	~	F:40;;1000 KDD
	James E. Robinson)	Case No.	5:18mj1099-KBB
	Defendant)		
	DETENTION ORD	ER P	PENDING T	RIAL
	r conducting a detention hearing under the Bai	il Refo	orm Act, 18 U	J.S.C. § 3142(f), I conclude that these facts
□ (1) The d	Part I—Fi defendant is charged with an offense described		•	42(f)(1) and has previously been convicted
				e been a federal offense if federal
	urisdiction had existed - that is			
			6(a)(4)or an	offense listed in 18 U.S.C. § 2332b(g)(5)
	an offense for which the maximum sentence	e is de	eath or life im	prisonment.
	an offense for which a maximum prison term	m of te	en years or m	nore is prescribed in
				.*
	a felony committed after the defendant had described in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f)(1)(A)-(C)			•
	any felony that is not a crime of violence by	ut invo	olves:	
	☐ a minor victim			
	\Box the possession or use of a firearm or de	structi	ive device or	any other dangerous weapon
	☐ a failure to register under 18 U.S.C. § 2	2250		
	The offense described in finding (1) was committed while the defendant was on release pending trial for a federal, state release or local offense.			
□ (3) A ₁	period of less than five years has elapsed since	e the	☐ date of	conviction ☐ the defendant's release
fro	om prison for the offense described in finding	(1).		
	Findings Nos. (1), (2) and (3) establish a rebuttable presumption that no condition will reasonably assure the safety of another person or the community. I further find that the defendant has not rebutted this presumption.			
	Alternativ	e Find	dings (A)	
□ (1) Th	here is probable cause to believe that the defer	ndant l	has committe	ed an offense
	for which a maximum prison term of ten ye	ars or	more is prese	cribed in .
	under 18 U.S.C. § 924(c).			

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

for the

- -	•	•		•	-1 :
Nort	0.0440	14.01	tera ort	α t	()hio
INOIL	hern	DIS	шсь	OI.	OHIC

		Northern District of Ohio
□ (2)	The defendant has not rebutted the defendant's appearance and	the presumption established by finding 1 that no condition will reasonably assure the safety of the community.
		Alternative Findings (B)
\Box (1)	There is a serious risk that the	defendant will not appear.
▼ (2)	There is a serious risk that the	defendant will endanger the safety of another person or the community.
	D 477	
		— Statement of the Reasons for Detention mation submitted at the detention hearing establishes by ✓ clear and
	-	ce of the evidence that
nature a	nd seriousness of the the danger to th	e nature and circumstances of the offense charged, the weight of the evidence, and the e community that would be posed by Defendant's release, the Court finds that no at would reasonably ensure the safety of the community.
	Par	t III—Directions Regarding Detention
in a compending order of	rections facility separate, to the ex appeal. The defendant must be a United States Court or on request or	custody of the Attorney General or a designated representative for confinement stent practicable, from persons awaiting or serving sentences or held in custody fforded a reasonable opportunity to consult privately with defense counsel. On of an attorney for the Government, the person in charge of the corrections facility states marshal for a court appearance.
Date:	05/15/2018	s/Jonathan D. Greenberg
-		Judge's Signature
		Jonathan D. Greenberg, United States Magistrate Judge
		Name and Title